

SECRET

(When Filled In)

Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001800020035-4

## CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

1

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

1 Oct 64

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

3

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

19 June 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

NGA review(s)  
completed.

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Study on PI Performance

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☒ YES☐ NOTHE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED 10% of 2-yr effort

65% of accelerated study

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

## OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING3. ☒ ABOVE AVERAGE5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT4. ☐ AVERAGE6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

## RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING  
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☐ TERMINATE☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT ATTACH COMMENTS IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

September 1964

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

25X1

On September 3 [ ] visited our facilities to spend the day evaluating the material specially flown for the project and to select 20 frames to be used for "GEM-making". He had brought with him three duplicate positives, for our retention, of the photography which had just been processed to his specifications at [ ]

From September 4 to September 27 [ ] shop was busy producing "GEMS" from the photography flown over the San Diego area. His crew worked on weekends to meet the deadline of September 23.

25X1

